|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Une image contenant intérieur, bleu, chaise, table  Description générée automatiquement | |
| **UE 6.1 – Status Report**  **Sparse RADAR Imaging Technique**  **FISE - 2021** | |
|  | ENSTA Bretagne  2 rue F. Verny  29806 Brest Cedex 9, France  First Lieutenant – French Procurement Agency  BARRET Maxime, [maxime.barret@ensta-bretagne.org](mailto:maxime.barret@ensta-bretagne.org)  06 33 33 82 26 |

Contents

[Equations table 3](#_Toc57539773)

[Illustration table 3](#_Toc57539774)

[Introduction 3](#_Toc57539775)

[I. Required notions 4](#_Toc57539776)

[1. RADAR 4](#_Toc57539777)

[1.1. History of Radar 4](#_Toc57539778)

[1.2. Basic principle 5](#_Toc57539779)

[1.3. Radar Equations 5](#_Toc57539780)

[2. Radar Cross Section 6](#_Toc57539781)

[3. Sparsity 8](#_Toc57539782)

[4. Radar Imaging techniques 8](#_Toc57539783)

[4.1. SAR 8](#_Toc57539784)

[4.2. ISAR 8](#_Toc57539785)

[4.3. Cf “Techniques de l’ingénieur” p20 8](#_Toc57539786)

[II. Measurements acquisition 8](#_Toc57539787)

[1. Anechoic chamber 9](#_Toc57539788)

[2. Antennas and frequency range 10](#_Toc57539789)

[3. Vector network Analyser 10](#_Toc57539790)

[4. Protocole 10](#_Toc57539791)

[III. Sparse Imaging Techniques 10](#_Toc57539792)

[1. ESPRIT, CLEAN ou autre 10](#_Toc57539793)

[2. SPRITE 10](#_Toc57539794)

[Bibliography 10](#_Toc57539795)

# Equations table

[Equation 1 - Basic Radar Principle 5](#_Toc57817240)

[Equation 2 - Radar Range Equation 6](#_Toc57817241)

[Equation 3 - Radar Equation (Monostatic) 6](#_Toc57817242)

[Equation 4 - Radar Equation (Bistatic) 6](#_Toc57817243)

[Equation 5 - Radar Cross Section definition 7](#_Toc57817244)

# Illustration table

[Figure 1 - Early german Warning Radar, Freya (1940) 5](#_Toc57817234)

[Figure 2 - Illustration of the wave trajectory [3] 5](#_Toc57817235)

[Figure 3 - Radar Cross Section diagram of a B-26 Bombardier – f = 3 GHz 7](#_Toc57817236)

[Figure 4 - SOLANGE anechoic chamber - DGA MI - Bruz 9](#_Toc57817237)

[Figure 5 - Simplified VNA measurement process 10](#_Toc57817238)

[Figure 6 - Comparison of the measured electric field in an empty anechoic room and with a small object [6] 11](#_Toc57817239)

# Introduction

The RADAR Cross Section is a measure that indicates how detectable an object is by a RADAR, and acts as an intrinsic electromagnetic signature to said object. The greater the RCS[[1]](#footnote-1), the easier to detect hence the constant consideration whether the object should be detected or should be stealthy. The RCS bears more information than just a surface, and given the right data, it can be used to classify and identify aircrafts, ships, and so on.

With an anechoic chamber, we have optimal conditions to fully quantify the RSC of an object according to the RADAR’s operating frequency, and the angle at which the RADAR’s beam hits the object. Given the data given by the Vector Network Analyser, and using classical operations such as Fourier’s Transform, we can create a 3D representation of the object. Such techniques are known and used since the 1980’s. [1]

Yet, the aforementioned techniques can provide only moderate quality results. This project aims at implementing a version of the Sparse Radar Imaging Technique (SPRITE), watered-down to 2D, that should give us better results. The sparse approach gives us access to new algorithms, and allows us to take less measurements, which is a plus considering how tedious acquiring them is.

In the first chapter, I will mention a few notions that are essential in order to comprehend the methods and results. Then, I will emphasize on the data acquisition process, to conclude with the existing imaging techniques and comparison to SPRITE[[2]](#footnote-2)

# Required notions

Before dwelling into how to generates images from RCS measurements, we must remind ourselves of a few basic principles and results.

## RADAR

### History of Radar

A radar is a device designed to emit an electromagnetic signal and “listen” for an echo, to determine distance, location, and other characteristics of a target in its beam.

No scientist can actually claim to be the inventor of the Radar, as it is accumulation of many technologies and scientific advancements in which several countries took a part in. [2]

It all began with the work of James Clerk Maxwell, in which he demonstrated that electric and magnetic fields travel through space like waves, at the speed of light. Electromagnetic waves were discovered years later, by Heinrich Hertz, confirming Maxwell’s theories. At the beginning of the 20th century, Nikola Tesla hinted that EM[[3]](#footnote-3) waves could be used to detect moving metallic objects. As time went by, numerous application came to be, and inventions such as the magnetron and the klystron allowed nations such as the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan and France to have Radars during World War II.

Une image contenant train, vapeur, fumée, voie

Description générée automatiquement

Figure 1 - Early german Warning Radar, Freya (1940)

Compared to visual observation, and optical systems, the radar has many advantages: can be unmanned, being operational day or night, in all weather, and through different technologies, can provide more than just detection.

### Basic principle

Even though the calculations and equation can be complex, the basic principle of a Radar is quite simple to grasp. An antenna sends an EM wave in a certain direction: If the wave encounters an object, it will scatter and eventually bounce back for the antenna to receive. Knowing that an EM wave propagates at the speed of light and being able to compute the delay between emission and reception ensures we can compute the distance travelled by the wave. Finally, we have:

Equation 1 - Basic Radar Principle

Where

* is the distance between the Radar and the target (m)
* is the speed of light ()
* is the delay between emission and reception of the wave. (s)

Une image contenant eau, neige, table, skiant

Description générée automatiquement

Figure 2 - Illustration of the wave trajectory [3]

Furthermore, if the direction of the emitted EM wave is perfectly known, in azimuth and elevation, we can deduce a position of our target, with a certain degree of resolution depending on intrinsic characteristic of our radar. [3]

### Radar Equations

The Radar range equation provides the most useful mathematical relationship for engineers and technicians to dimension a Radar. It accounts for

* Radar system parameters (Antenna, frequency, power…)
* Target Parameters (Radar Cross Section)
* Background effect (Clutter, noise, interference, and jamming)
* Propagation medium (absorption and scatter)

In a general case, the radar range equation is:

Equation 2 - Radar Range Equation

Where

* is the maximum range of the radar (m)
* is the minimum power that can be detected by the Radar (W)
* is the Gain of the antenna used by the Radar (W)
* is the Radar’s operating frequency (m)
* is the Radar Cross Section of the target (m²)
* L characterise the loss due to background effect and the propagation medium

Rearranged, in a monostatic case[[4]](#footnote-4), we can state:

Equation 3 - Radar Equation (Monostatic)

Where

* is the power received by the Radar (W)
* is the power emitted by the Radar (W)
* is the distance at which the target is (m)

In a bistatic case,

Equation 4 - Radar Equation (Bistatic)

Where

* is the Gain of the antenna used by the emitting Radar (W)
* is the Gain of the antenna used by the receiving Radar (W)
* is the distance between the target and one radar (m)
* is the distance between the target and the other radar (m)

## Radar Cross Section

In the previous equations, the Radar Cross Section was used, but was not defined properly. Expressed in m², the RCS[[5]](#footnote-6) is a measure that indicates how detectable an object is by a Radar. It is mathematically defined by:

Equation 5 - Radar Cross Section definition

Where

* is the scattered electric field (V/m)
* is the incident electric field (V/m)

As indicated in Equation 3, the greater the RCS, the greater the power received by the antenna and thus the probability of detection. This raises concern as to whether the object is stealthy or is not. A greater RCS can be useful for an airplane or sailboat far at sea, granting them more chances to be detected. On the contrary, a smaller RCS grants airplanes/ships and other systems brings stealth, and a potential head start in an operational situation.

Une image contenant extérieur, neige, tour, signe

Description générée automatiquement

Figure 3 - Radar Cross Section diagram of a B-26 Bombardier – f = 3 GHz

The RCS of an object depends on an extensive number of parameters, ranging from its geometric shape, the material it is made of, as well as the EM wave polarisation. All these parameters are thoroughly chosen to ensure stealth or better detection right off the bat.

[4]

## Sparsity

The sparse representation of signal is a representation with a few significant parameters or values, the rest of them being equal to zero, or close to be.

When confronted to linear problem that can be put into the following form such as , where D is a m by n matrix called the dictionary, x is a vector of length m, is a vector of length p, the core sparse representation problem is defined as the quest for the sparsest possible representation satisfying . For instance, a Matching Pursuit algorithm will look for a sparse representation or solution to the linear problem, one non-zero coefficient at a time. By doing so, a MP[[6]](#footnote-7) algorithm will quickly give a good approximation of the solution, which will be sparse.

## Radar Imaging techniques

As mentioned in RADAR, we can extrapolate more than just the presence of a target, or its distance. We are able to use Radars to create 2D, or even 3D images of objects.

### SAR

Synthetic-Aperture Radars are a type of radar used to create 2D or 3D reconstructions of objects. Here, object is a broad term as landscapes are often represented thanks to SAR[[7]](#footnote-8). SAR also stands for the airborne and spaceborne technique to create images remotely.

### ISAR

[5]

### Cf “Techniques de l’ingénieur” p20

# Measurements acquisition

For us to reconstruct an image, we will have to acquire data. We can begin to work with simulated data, but the model used may not account for natural phenomena occurring that potentially bring the quality of the reconstruction down. To get a better look at the RCS of an object, we will have to measure it in an anechoic chamber.

## Anechoic chamber

An anechoic chamber is a room where we try to get only the electric field scattered by the object of interest. No anechoic chamber is alike. Indeed, each one is defined by a certain number of parameters that will determine the characteristics of the chamber. For instance, depending on what we ought to measure, the size will be different. *Solange,* located in Bruz, can house 1:1 representation of combat aircrafts or drones, whereas ENSTA Bretagne’s chamber is limited to small objects.

Une image contenant table, stade, moniteur, parapluie

Description générée automatiquement

Figure 4 - SOLANGE anechoic chamber - DGA MI - Bruz

Other parameters can be:

* Measurement configuration: monostatic, bistatic, quasi monostatic
* Polarisation of the incident wave
* Measurement Frequency range
* The target’s mass
* The maximum expected RCS

[6]

The wavelength of our incident wave will also be decisive on the shape and size of the foam used on the wall. The purpose of this specially coated foam is to limit as much as possible reflection of the walls and mounting mechanism that will pollute the acquisition. With the help of a carbon-rich paint and its pyramidal shape, the foam acts as a wave trap and dissipate waves through Joule heating.

## Antennas and frequency range

Having designed our chamber as intended for our purposes, we now have to choose the antennas and layout that will be relevant for our measurements. We will have to keep in mind that to get co-polarisation and cross-polarisation, a particular antenna must be used. As for the layout, we have the choice between monostatic, quasi monostatic, and bistatic. Here in ENSTA Bretagne, we can choose from bistatic and quasi monostatic:

Two horn antennas are disposed on a rail, facing the mounting mechanism for the measured object.

Horn antennas can operate over a wide range of frequencies, which is critical since the anechoic chamber in ENSTA Bretagne must operate from 2 GHz[[8]](#footnote-9) to 18 GHz.

The resolution at which our measurement chain will be able to operate is directly linked to this frequency range, such that:

Where

is distance resolution (m)

is the speed of light ()

is the frequency range (Hz)

Equation 6 - Spatial Resolution

\*Parler de la resolution\*

[7]

## Vector Network Analyser

The VNA[[9]](#footnote-10) compares the incident signal, which it generates, to the received signal, which was scattered by the measured object. The value resulting from this comparison is complex and called the S-parameter. The S-parameter is complex because, unlike a Scalar Network Analyser, the VNA not only measure the amplitude, but also the phase of the received signal.




Figure 5 - Simplified VNA measurement process

To link the VNA and the antennas, coaxial cords are often used. Every connection between components must be considered as each link of the chain begets power loss depending on the frequency.

Just as with the anechoic chamber, we must choose our VNA considering certain parameters such as:

* Frequency range
* Maximum power delivery for the incident wave
* Maximum received power
* The receiver’s Johnson–Nyquist noise (or thermal noise)

## Protocole

### Measurement without target and calibration

Even though an anechoic chamber aims to minimise the reflections of EM waves on the walls, it is impossible to guarantee that parasites signals will not be included in our measurements. Furthermore, the measurement chain will most certainly bring its own noise: hence the need to evaluate the levels of noise beforehand.

By measuring the electromagnetic signature of the room, without any target, we can then subtract those values in order to minimise the noise levels and get more accurate readings.




Figure 6 - Comparison of the measured electric field in an empty anechoic room and with a small object [6]

According to Figure 6, at some frequencies, electric field levels can be very similar with or without our target. The electric field measured in the chamber is subtracted in a vectorial way, such that the phase of the electric field is also subtracted.

In addition to measurement without a target, we also have to calibrate our measurement equipment thanks to a perfectly known target according to the Geometrical Theory of Diffraction. For instance, we can use a metal plate or a metal sphere, precisely positioned on the pedestal. For common and simple geometric shape, the GTD[[10]](#footnote-11) gives us a standard to go by, and most importantly a correction coefficient to apply to the measurement following this calibration phase.

Every measurement campaign starts with this phase, only then we can place our target on the pedestal.

### Target placement and measurements

The placement of the target is of the essence because its scattered field and thus its RCS greatly depends on the angle of the incident wave. Misaligning our target could mean having poor results. The first step is the align the object with the beam of emission of our antenna, and make sure its angle is relevant to what we ought to measure.

### After the fact processing

# Sparse Imaging Techniques

## ESPRIT, CLEAN ou autre (Estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques)

## 

## SPRITE

# Bibliography

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [1] | D. L. Mensa, High Resolution Radar Cross-Section Imaging, Boston: Artech House, 1991. |
| [2] | Y. Blanchard, Le radar, 1904-2004 : Histoire d'un siècle d'innovations techniques et opérationnelles, Ellipses, 2004. |
| [3] | C. Wolff, “radartutorial.eu,” 11 2020. [Online]. Available: https://radartutorial.eu. [Accessed 11 2020]. |
| [4] | J. F. S. M. T. T. Eugene F. Knott, Radar Cross Section - Second Edition, 2004. |
| [5] | C. Özdemir, Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar Imaging with Matlab algorithms, Mersin, 2012. |
| [6] | F. D. C. E. J.-M. G. P. M. G.-P. P. Fabrice Comblet, “Mesure de la Surface Équivalente Radar - Aspect expérimental,” Techniques de l'Ingénieur, 2018. |
| [7] | P. Dumon, “Antennes,” Toulouse, 2020. |
| [8] | S. Bucuci, High resolution RCS imaging in anechoic chamber by introducing a random medium, Rennes, 2017. |
| [9] | J.-F. G. a. P. M. Thomas Benoudiba-Campanini, SPRITE: 3-D SParse Radar Imaging TEchnique, IEEE, 2020. |
| [10] | C. V. Angélique Drémeaux, “Sparse Representation and Compressed Sensing,” Brest, 2020. |
| [11] | J. Z. A Pasmurov, Radar Imaging and Holography, 2005. |
| [12] | ETS LINDGREN, TOP 10 ANECHOIC ABSORBER CONSIDERATIONS, Cedar Park, TX, 2019. |

1. Radar Cross Section [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. SParse Radar Imaging TEchnique [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Electromagnetic [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Only one radar emitting and receiving [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Radar Cross Section [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Matching Pursuit [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Synthetic Aperture Radars [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. ENSTA Bretagne’s chamber is NOT a Faraday cage, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth from nearby offices can and will ruin measurements [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. Vector Network Analyser [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. Geometrical Theory of Diffraction [↑](#footnote-ref-11)